March 2016, Volume 18, Issue 2 Pages (671-1387), NoP (1921-1977) ISSN 1392-8716

JVE Journal of Vibroengineering



Editor in chief

K. Ragulskis

Managing Editor

M. Ragulskis

Editorial Board

V. Babitsky R. Bansevičius M. Bayat I. Blekhman K. Bousson A Bubulis R. Burdzik M. S. Cao Lu Chen F. Chernousko Z. Dabrowski R. Daukševičius Y. Davydov J. Duhovnik S. Ersoy A. Fedaravičius R. Ganiev W. H. Hsieh V. Kaminskas V. Klyuev G. Kulvietis V. Lyalin R. Maskeliūnas L. E. Muñoz V. Ostaševičius A. Palevičius G. Panovko L. Qiu S. Rakheia V. Royzman M. A. F. Sanjuan A. El Sinawi P. M. Singru G. Song J. Škliba S. Toyama K. Uchino A. Vakhguelt P. Vasiljev V. Veikutis J. Viba V. Volkovas J. Wallaschek D. Wang Mao Yuxin M. Zakrzhevsky

Lithuanian Academy of Sciences, (Lithuania)

Kaunas University of Technology, JVE International, (Lithuania)

Loughborough University, (UK) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Tarbiat Modares University, (Iran) Mekhanobr - Tekhnika Corporation, (Russia) University of Beira Interior, (Portugal) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Silesian University of Technology, (Poland) Hohai University, (China) Beihang University, (China) Institute for Problems in Mechanics, (Russia) Warsaw University of Technology, (Poland) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Institute of Machine Building Mechanics, (Russia) University of Ljubljana, (Slovenia) Marmara University, (Turkey) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Blagonravov Mechanical Engineering Research Institute, (Russia) National Formosa University, (Taiwan) Vytautas Magnus University, (Lithuania) Association Spektr - Group, (Russia) Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, (Lithuania) Izhevsk State Technical University, (Russia) Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, (Lithuania) Universidad de los Andes, (Colombia) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Blagonravov Mechanical Engineering Research Institute, (Russia) Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, (China) Concordia University, (Canada) Khmelnitskiy National University, (Ukraine) University Rey Juan Carlos, (Spain) The Petroleum Institute, (United Arab Emirates) BITS Pilani, (India) University of Houston, (USA) Technical University of Liberec, (Czech Republic) Tokyo A&T University, (Japan) The Pennsylvania State University, (USA) Nazarbayev University, (Kazakhstan) Lithuanian University of Educational Sciences, (Lithuania) Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, (Lithuania) Riga Technical University, (Latvia) Kaunas University of Technology, (Lithuania) Leibniz University Hannover, (Germany) City University of Hong Kong, (China) Zhejiang Gongshang University, (China) Riga Technical University, (Latvia)

k.ragulskis@jve.lt, ragulskis.jve@gmail.com

minvydas.ragulskis@ktu.lt m.ragulskis@jve.lt

v.i.babitsky@lboro.ac.uk ramutis.bansevicius@ktu.lt mbayat14@yahoo.com iliya.i.blekhman@gmail.com bousson@ubi.pt algimantas.bubulis@ktu.lt rafal.burdzik@polsl.pl cmszhy@hhu.edu.cn luchen@buaa.edu.cn chern@ipmnet.ru zdabrow@simr.pw.edu.pl rolanasd@centras.lt linstitut@bk.ru joze.duhovnik@lecad.uni-lj.si sersoy@marmara.edu.tr algimantas.fedaravicius@ktu.lt rganiev@nwmtc.ac.ru

allen@nfu.edu.tw v.kaminskas@if.vdu.lt v.klyuev@spektr.ru genadijus.kulvietis@vgtu.lt velyalin@mail.ru rimas.maskeliunas@vgtu.lt lui-muno@uniandes.edu.co vytautas.ostasevicius@ktu.lt arvydas.palevicius@ktu.lt gpanovko@yandex.ru

lei.qiu@nuaa.edu.cn

subhash.rakheja@concordia.ca iftomm@ukr.net miguel.sanjuan@urjc.es aelsinawi@pi.ac.ae pmsingru@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in gsong@uh.edu jan.skliba@tul.cz toyama@cc.tuat.ac.jp kenjiuchino@psu.edu anatoli.vakhguelt@nu.edu.kz vasiljev@vpu.lt vincentas.veikutis@lsmuni.lt janis.viba@rtu.lv vitalijus.volkovas@ktu.lt wallaschek@ids.uni-hannover.de dongwang4-c@my.cityu.edu.hk maoyuxin@zjgsu.edu.cn mzakr@latnet.lv

JVE Journal of Vibroengineering

Aims and Scope

Original papers containing developments in vibroengineering of dynamical systems (macro-, micro-, nano- mechanical, mechatronic, biomechanics and etc. systems).

The following subjects are principal topics:

Vibration and wave processes; Vibration and wave technologies; Nonlinear vibrations; Vibroshock systems; Generation of vibrations and waves; Vibrostabilization; Transformation of motion by vibrations and waves; Dynamics of intelligent mechanical systems; Vibration control, identification, diagnostics and monitoring.

All published papers are peer reviewed.

General Requirements

The authors must ensure that the paper presents an original unpublished work which is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.

The following structure of the manuscript is recommended: abstract, keywords, nomenclature, introduction, main text, results, conclusions and references. Manuscript should be single-spaced, one column 162×240 mm format, using Microsoft Word 2007 or higher. Margins: top 10 mm, bottom 10 mm, left 15 mm, right 10 mm, header 4 mm, footer 7 mm.

Font: Times New Roman. Title of the article 16 pt Bold, authors name 10 pt Bold, title of the institution 9 pt Regular, equations and text 10 pt Regular, indexes 5 pt Regular, all symbols Italic, vectors Bold, numbers Regular. Paragraph first line indentation 5 mm. Equations are to be written with Microsoft Office 2007 or higher Equation Tool.

Heading of the table starts with table number 9 pt Bold as **"Table 1."**, then further text 9 pt Regular. Table itself 9 pt Regular.

Figure caption starts with figure number 9 pt Bold as "**Fig. 1**.", then further text 9 pt Regular. Figure itself must be a single or grouped graphical item.

Tables and figures are placed after the paragraph in which they are first referenced.

List of references: reference number and authors 9 pt Bold, further information 9 pt Regular:

[1] Pain H. J. The Physics of Vibrations and Waves. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 2005.

[2] Juška V., Svilainis L., Dumbrava V. Analysis of piezomotor driver for laser beam deflection. Journal of Vibroengineering, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 2009, p. 17-26.

Every manuscript published in Journal of Vibroengineering must be followed by a list of biographies, with a passport type photographs, of all listed authors.

The authors are responsible for the correctness of the English language.

The authors are expected to cover partial costs of publication in JVE.

JVE annual subscription fees: 300 EUR (individual); 600 EUR (institutional).

The journal material is referred:

THOMSON REUTERS: Science Citation Index Expanded (Web of Science, SciSearch®);

Journal Citation Reports / Science Edition.

SCOPUS: ELSEVIER Bibliographic Database.

COMPENDEX: ELSEVIER Bibliographic Database.

EBSCO: Academic Search Complete;

Computers & Applied Sciences Complete;

Central & Eastern European Academic Source;

Current Abstracts;

TOC Premier.

GALE Cengage Learning: Academic OneFile Custom Periodical.

INSPEC: OCLC. The Database for Physics, Electronics and Computing.

VINITI: All-Russian Institute of Scientific and Technical Information.

GOOGLE SCHOLAR: http://scholar.google.com

Internet:http://www.jvejournal.com; http://www.jve.ltE-mail:m.ragulskis@jve.lt; ragulskis.jve@gmail.comAddress:Geliu ratas 15A, LT-50282, Kaunas, Lithuania

Publisher: JVE International Ltd.

JVE Journal of Vibroengineering

MARCH 2016. VOLUME 18, ISSUE 2, PAGES (671-1387), NUMBERS OF PUBLICATIONS FROM 1921 TO 1977. ISSN 1392-8716

Contents

MECHANICAL VIBRATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

1921.	A STUDY OF PARTICLES LOOSENESS IN SCREENING PROCESS OF A LINEAR	671
	VIBRATING SCREEN	
	ZHANFU LI, XIN TONG, HUIHUANG XIA, LUOJIAN YU	
1922.	ANALYSIS OF AXIAL STIFFNESS CHARACTERISTICS OF A MECHANISM OF FLUID	682
	BAG SHOCK ABSORBER UNDER ALTERNATIVE FACTORS	
	MING ZHANG, RONGMIN JIANG, HONG NIE	
1923.	THE BONDED MACRO FIBER COMPOSITE (MFC) AND WOVEN KENAF EFFECT	699
	ANALYSES ON THE MICRO ENERGY HARVESTER PERFORMANCE OF KENAF	
	PLATE USING MODAL TESTING AND TAGUCHI METHOD	
	A. HAMDAN, F. MUSTAPHA, K. A. AHMAD, A. S. MOHD RAFIE, M. R. ISHAK,	
	A. E. ISMAIL	
1924.	FOUR FIELD COUPLED DYNAMICS FOR A MICRO RESONANT GAS SENSOR	717
	YULEI LIU, FENGQIANG QIAN, LIZHONG XU	
1925.	ANALYTICAL APPROACHES TO VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF CIRCULAR, ANNULAR	731
	AND SECTORIAL PLATES SUBJECTED TO CLASSICAL AND ARBITRARY	
	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS – A LITERATURE SURVEY	
	Fazl e Ahad, Dongyan Shi, Anees Ur Rehman	
1926.	NUMERICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION ON SELF-SYNCHRONIZATION	744
	OF TWO ECCENTRIC ROTORS IN THE VIBRATION SYSTEM	
	XIAOZHE CHEN, XIANGXI KONG, JINGXIN DOU, YUNSHAN LIU, BANGCHUN WEN	
1927.	A NOVEL TYPE OF HYBRID ULTRASONIC MOTOR USING LONGITUDINAL AND	759
	TORSIONAL VIBRATION MODES WITH SIDE PANELS	
	LIN YANG, XINGXING ZHU, XIANGYU CHEN	
1928.	MODELING AND DYNAMIC SIMULATION ON ENGRAVING PROCESS OF ROTATING	768
	BAND INTO RIFLED BARREL USING THREE DIFFERENT NUMERICAL METHODS	
	Zhen Li, Jianli Ge, Guolai Yang, Jun Tang	

	FAULT DIAGNOSIS BASED ON VIBRATION SIGNAL ANALYSIS	
1929.	A HIGH-THROUGHPUT MULTI-HOP WSN FOR STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING	781
1930.	SHANG GAO, SHENFANG YUAN, LEI QIU, BIYUN LING, YUANQIANG REN DAMAGE DETECTION OF CONCRETE PILES SUBJECT TO TYPICAL DAMAGES USING PIEZOCERAMIC BASED PASSIVE SENSING APPROACH	801
1931.	QIAN FENG, HAN XIAO, QINGZHAO KONG, YABIN LIANG, GANGBING SONG Low strain pile testing based on synchrosqueezing wavelet	813
	TRANSFORMATION ANALYSIS JUNCALXU, ONGWEN REN, ZHENZHONG SHEN	
1932.	DATA-DRIVEN VIBRATION SIGNAL FILTERING PROCEDURE BASED ON THE	826
	A-STABLE DISTRIBUTION Grzegorz Żak Agnieszka Wyłomańska Radosław Zimroz	
1933.	A FAULT DIAGNOSIS APPROACH OF RECIPROCATING COMPRESSOR GAS VALVE	838
	BASED ON LOCAL MEAN DECOMPOSITION AND	
	AUTOREGRESSIVE-GENERALIZED AUTOREGRESSIVE CONDITIONAL	
	HETEROSCEDASTICITY MODEL	
1024	NA LEI, YOUFU TANG, YONG LEI	0.40
1934.	BEARING FAULT DIAGNOSIS BASED ON INTRINSIC TIME-SCALE	849
	JUNE U SHENG, SHAOIJANG DONG, ZHU I U	
1935.	FAULT DIAGNOSIS OF GEARBOXES USING WAVELET SUPPORT VECTOR	860
	MACHINE, LEAST SQUARE SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE AND WAVELET PACKET	
	TRANSFORM	
	Mohammad Heidari, Hadi Homaei, Hossein Golestanian, Ali Heidari	
1936.	SINGULARITY DETECTION OF 2D SIGNALS USING FRACTAL DIMENSION	876
	ANALYSIS OF SCALE INFORMATION	
1037	KUNBO BAI, ZONGMEI AU, JINGJING FENG STUDY ON FAILURE WARNING OF TOOL MACAZINE AND AUTOMATIC TOOL	883
1957.	CHANCER	005
	CHUANHAI CHEN, HAILONG TIAN, JIANHAI ZHANG, XIAOHUI SHI, LEI CHEN,	
	JUN BAO, WEI DUAN	
1938.	A HYBRID FAULT DIAGNOSIS METHOD FOR MECHANIC-ELECTRONIC-	900
	HYDRAULIC CONTROL SYSTEM BASED ON SIMULATED KNOWLEDGE FROM	
	VIRTUAL PROTOTYPING	
	DEYU HE, NIAOQING HU, LEI HU	
	VIBRATION GENERATION AND CONTROL	
1939.	EVALUATION OF SPHERICAL ULTRASONIC MOTOR FOR SPACE IN HIGH	916
	TEMPERATURE CONDITION	
	UICHI NISHIZAWA, TARO OOHASHI, SHIGEKI TOYAMA	
1940.	A NEW CONTROLLER DESIGN OF ELECTRO-HYDRAULIC SERVO SYSTEM BASED	927
	UN EMPIRICAL MODE DECOMPOSITION Inc. Huang, Changguin Li, Hao Van, Yuesong Vang, Ing Li	
1941	JING HUANG, CHANGUHUN LI, HAU TAN, AUESUNG TANG, JING LI USING NECATIVE VELOCITY FEEDBACK CONTROL LEP TO REDUCE THE	938
1771,	VIBRATION OF A SUSPENDED CABLE	500
	H. S. BAUOMY, A. T. EL-SAYED, T. M. N. METWALY	
1942.	EFFECTS OF MOTION-INDUCED AERODYNAMIC FORCE ON THE PERFORMANCE	951
	OF ACTIVE BUFFETING CONTROL	

JIE SUN, MIN LI

CONTENTS

1943.	EFFECT OF FRACTIONAL-ORDER PID CONTROLLER WITH ACCELERATION	965
	FEEDBACK ON A LINEAR SINGLE DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM OSCILLATOR	
	JIANGCHUAN NIU, YONGJUN SHEN, SHAOPU YANG, XIANGHONG LI	
1944.	DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF NONLINEAR ANTI-RESONANCE	978
	VIBRATING MACHINE WITH THE FLUCTUATION OF MATERIAL MASS	
	XIAOHAO LI, TAO SHEN	
1945.	SELF-SENSING CAVITATION DETECTION CAPABILITY OF HORN GEOMETRIES	989
	FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE APPLICATION	
	KAI-ALEXANDER SAALBACH, JENS TWIEFEL, JÖRG WALLASCHEK	
1946.	MICRO-VIBRATION ISOLATION MEASUREMENT PLATFORM USING PERMANENT	999
	MAGNET NEGATIVE-STIFFNESS DEVICES AND AIR SPRINGS	
	XIAOPING ZHANG, LIN HE	
1947.	PIEZOELECTRIC LASER BEAM DEFLECTOR FOR SPACE APPLICATIONS	1008
	RAMUTIS BANSEVIČIUS, SIGITA NAVICKAITĖ, VYTAUTAS JŪRĖNAS,	
	VIKTORIJA MAČIUKIENE, GENADIJUS KULVIETIS, DALIUS MAŽEIKA	
	SEISMIC ENGINEERING	
1948.	DYNAMIC RESPONSE ANALYSIS OF CONCRETE LINING STRUCTURE IN HIGH	1016
	PRESSURE DIVERSION TUNNEL UNDER SEISMIC LOAD	
	JIAN DENG, MING XIAO	
1949.	MITIGATION OF WIND-INDUCED VIBRATION OF A TALL RESIDENTIAL BUILDING	1031
	USING LIQUID COLUMN VIBRATION ABSORBER	
	BONG-HO CHO, EUNJONG YU, HONGJIN KIM	
1950.	SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMES RETROFITTED	1041
	WITH INSERTED STEEL FRAME AND ADHERED WAVED STEEL PANEL	
1051	NAMSHIK AHN, MIN SOOK KIM, SEONG-EON SONG, YOUNG HAK LEE	1053
1951.	MODELING OF KC SHEAR WALLS USING SHEAR SPRING AND FIBER ELEMENTS	1052
	FOR SEISMIC PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	
1052	SEUNG-TIOUN JEUNG, WUN-SEUK JANG	1060
1932.	EFFECT OF EARTHQUAKE ON STABILITY OF SUBWAY STATION AND GROUND MOTIONS OF SUBBOUNDING DOCK MASSES	1000
	VAYONG LI XIAOGUANG IN ZHITAO I V WELLIO JIANGHUI DONG	
	TATONG EI, XIAOUANG JIN, ZHITAO EV, WEI EUO, JIANGHUI DONG	
	MODAL ANALYSIS	
1050		1051
1953.	A UNIFIED SOLUTION FOR THE IN-PLANE VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF MULTI-SPAN	10/1
	CURVED TIMOSHENKO BEAMS WITH GENERAL ELASTIC BOUNDARY AND	
	VILLING CONDITIONS	
105/	INVESTIGATION OF MODAL SHAPES OF THE ALICOMENT LEVELING TABLE	1088
1754.	ARTURAS KII IKEVICIUS AUDRIUS CERESKA RIMAS MASKELIUNAS	1000
	ANTANAS FURSENKO, KRISTINA KII IKEVICIENE, DEIVIDAS SABAITIS	
1955.	THE FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF THE IN PLANE AND OUT OF PLANE	1097
1,000	HARMONIC RESPONSES OF PIEZORESPONSE FORCE MICROSCOPY CANTILEVER	1071
	HUIFENG BO, HONGKUI HU, ZHANXIN ZHANG, JINCAI CHANG	
	VIBRATION IN TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING	
1956.	NUMERICAL MODELS OF RAILWAY TRACKS FOR OBTAINING FREQUENCY	1104
	RESPONSE COMPARISON WITH ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL	
	MEASUREMENTS	
	Ayoub Zougari, Jordi Martínez, Salvador Cardona	

CONTENTS

1957.	NUMERICAL OPTIMIZATION OF VIBRATION ACCELERATION TRANSMISSIBILITY FOR SEAT SUSPENSION SYSTEM IN VEHICLES	1115
	XUE-LI FENG, JIE HU	
1958.	EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDIES OF THE EFFECTS OF A RAIL VIBRATION ABSORBER ON SUPPRESSING SHORT PITCH RAIL CORRUGATION W. L. OLAN, WILLY, E. G. Y. CHEN, H. OLIVANIC	1133
1959	W. J. QIAN, WU T. F., U. A. CHEN, H. OUYANG DVNAMIC BEHAVIODS OF 2-DOF AVIALLY TELESCODIC MECHANISM FOR	1145
1)5).	TRUSS STRUCTURE RRIDGE INSPECTION VEHICLE	1145
	WENWEN SIIL ZHENCALZHU GUOHUA CAO GUOAN CHEN	
1960.	STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE EXPERIMENT BY MOVING CART TO MOUNT MEASUREMENT SENSORS	1157
	Eun-Taik Lee, Hee-Chang Eun, Young-Ji Choi, Young-Jun Ahn, Seung-Guk Lee, Sang-Woo Park	
	FLOW INDUCED STRUCTURAL VIBRATIONS	
1961.	MODELING AND SIMULATION OF CANTILEVER BEAM FOR WIND ENERGY HARVESTING	1167
	GIUSEPPE ACCIANI, FRANCESCO ADAMO, FILOMENA DI MODUGNO, GIANCARLO GELAO	
1962.	ANALYSIS OF DYNAMIC STABILITY FOR WIND TURBINE BLADE UNDER FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION	1175
	JIANPING ZHANG, WENLONG CHEN, TINGJUN ZHOU, HELEN WU, DANMEI HU, JIANXING REN	
1963.	LIFT FORCE, DRAG FORCE, AND TENSION RESPONSE IN VORTEX-INDUCED	1187
	VIBRATION FOR MARINE RISERS UNDER SHEAR FLOW	
	LIANGJIE MAO, QINGYOU LIU, GUORONG WANG, SHOUWEI ZHOU	
1964.	STABILITY ANALYSIS AND DYNAMIC EQUILIBRIUM OF A KUROSHIO GENERATOR SYSTEM	1198
10/7	HSING-CHENG YU, YI-AN CHEN, YOUNG-ZEHR KEHR	1200
1965.	A FINITE ELEMENT MODEL IN A FORM OF STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC EQUATION	1209
	FOR THE TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF PRESSURE IN PIPELINES RIMANTAS BARAUSKAS, ANDRIUS KRIŠČIŪNAS, TOMAS BLAŽAUSKAS	
	OSCILLATIONS IN DIOMEDICAL ENGINEEDING	
	OSCILLATIONS IN BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING	
1966.	OPTIMIZATION ANALYSIS OF NON-CONTACT ULTRASONIC DEGRADATION	1227
	SYSTEM BASED ON SYNCHRONOUS RESONANCE OF MULTIPLE MODES	
	Longxue Qiao, Yaohua Du, Feng Chen, Jinlong Wu, Taihu Wu	
	CHAOS, NONLINEAR DYNAMICS AND APPLICATIONS	
1967.	NONLINEAR VIBRATION OF HYPOID GEAR WITH BACKLASH	1243
	HUIBIN LI, HUICHAO GAO, YUE ZHANG, DEQUAN JIN	
1968.	REAL-TIME SIMULATION DYNAMICS MODEL AND SOLUTION ALGORITHM FOR THE TROLLEY-HOISTING SYSTEM IN CONTAINER CRANE SIMULATED TRAINING SYSTEM	1254
	KAI-LIANG LU, WEI-JIAN MI, MING-MING JIANG, YAN-BANG LIU	
1969.	GENERALIZED SOLITARY WAVES IN NONINTEGRABLE KDV EQUATIONS	1270
	Zenonas Navickas, Minvydas Ragulskis, Romas Marcinkevicius, Tadas Telksnys	

CONTENTS

1970.	NONLINEAR VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF DIRECTIONAL DRILL STRING	1280
	CONSIDERING EFFECT OF DRILLING MUD AND WEIGHT ON BIT	
	FARSHAD TAHERAN, VAHID MONFARED, SAEED DANESHMAND, ESMAEIL ABEDI	
1971.	EFFECT OF ROLLING PROCESS PARAMETERS ON STABILITY OF ROLLING MILL	1288
	VIBRATION WITH NONLINEAR FRICTION	
	LINGQIANG ZENG, YONG ZANG, ZHIYING GAO	
	OSCILLATIONS IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	
1972.	EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE PERFORMANCE OF A GIANT	1307
	MAGNETOSTRICTIVE ULTRASONIC TRANSDUCER	
	WANCHONG CAI, PINGFA FENG, JIANFU ZHANG, ZHIJUN WU, DINGWEN YU	
1973.	GRID-CONNECTED ISOLATED SHOOT-THROUGH Z-SOURCE INVERTER WITH	1319
	COUPLED INDUCTOR BASED ON NOVEL CONTROL STRATEGY	
	Hongsheng Su, Hongjian Lin	
	ACOUSTICS, NOISE CONTROL AND ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS	
1974.	THE NOISE CONTROL OF MINICAR BODY IN WHITE BASED ON ACOUSTIC PANEL	1332
	PARTICIPATION METHOD	
	YONGLIANG WANG, XUNPENG QIN, LI LU, HUAMING LIU, JINJING HUANG	
1975.	ANALYSIS OF VIBROACOUSTIC ESTIMATORS FOR A HEAVY-DUTY DIESEL	1346
	ENGINE USED IN SEA TRANSPORT IN THE ASPECT OF DIAGNOSTICS OF ITS	
	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	
	Agnieszka Merkisz-Guranowska, Marek Waligórski	
1976.	NUMERICAL COMPUTATION AND OPTIMIZATION DESIGN OF PANTOGRAPH	1358
	AERODYNAMIC NOISE	
	YA-HUI WANG	
1977.	SOUND FIELD SEPARATION TECHNIQUE USING THE PRINCIPLE OF DOUBLE	1370
	LAYER PATCH ACOUSTIC RADIATION MODES	
	Guo Liang, Zhu Haichao, Mao Rongfu, Su Junbo, Su Changwei	
	ERRATUM (PUBLISHER'S NOTE REGARDING PAPER 1485)	1386
	ERRATUM (PUBLISHER'S NOTE REGARDING PAPER 1571)	1387

1965. A finite element model in a form of structural dynamic equation for the transient analysis of pressure in pipelines

Rimantas Barauskas¹, Andrius Kriščiūnas², Tomas Blažauskas³

Kaunas University of Technology, Kaunas, Lithuania ¹Corresponding author **E-mail:** ¹rimantas.barauskas@ktu.lt, ²andrius.krisciunas@ktu.lt, ³tomas.blazauskas@ktu.lt (Received 2 November 2015; received in revised form 21 January 2016; accepted 17 February 2016)

Abstract. The finite element model of transient pressure pulse propagation in a pipeline is presented in the form of the standard structural dynamic equation, which combines steady flow analysis for obtaining the initial conditions of the flow in the form of pressures and flow rates and non-linear dynamic analysis by using explicit numerical integration techniques. Three options of simplification of governing equation set have been analyzed. At low values of steady flow speed many non-linear and convection terms could be omitted, while at the flow speeds comparable with the wave propagation speed the full equation system has been analyzed. The full equation system analysis involves the techniques ensuring corrections of the initial conditions and of the nodal flow rate balance. The investigated pipelines may contain large number of branches and loops. Parts of large pipelines are analyzed as separate sub-models by employing non-reflecting boundary conditions at the cut boundaries. Properties of pressure pulse front degradation as it propagates through branching points of the pipeline can be examined.

Keywords: finite element methods, compressible flow, dynamical systems.

1. Introduction

The basic mathematical models of fluid transients have been formulated long since. They were used generally to research the water-hammer problems that occur in a pipeline (either upstream or downstream from a valve) when sudden closure of a valve happens [1]. The solutions for pipelines of uncomplicated geometric configuration could be obtained analytically. In more complicated situations the method of characteristics (MOC) has to be applied that was originally developed for quick transients in liquid pipelines. The development of efficient numerical algorithms for wave, vibration and pulse propagation analysis in pipelines steadily engages numerous researchers and remains among significant problems of computational fluid dynamics. Though MOC is still used up-to-now to solving fluid transients problems, it has certain underlying shortcomings, such as the strict adherence to the relationship of the time steps against the model discretization steps along the lengths of the pipes. Many different sonic velocity values over a pipe network are inherent for the fluid flow networks constituted of pipes of many different diameters, and the elastic properties of the pipe make influence on the equivalent bulk modulus of the fluid. For example, in a heating network of a city sonic velocities in different pipeline segments may vary ~10-40 %. This means that for fixed length increments the required time step is different as the flow along different pipes of the network is investigated.

As alternatives, the numerical schemes based on the finite difference method, the finite volume method and the method of lines have been traditionally employed. In [2] Eulerian-based and Lagrangian-based methods for modeling pressure transients have been compared. In [3] finite difference-based efficient second order Godunov-type numerical method has been developed for simulation of the water-hammer problem in piping, in which fast Riemann solver has been constructed. In [4] performances of method of lines and finite difference method were tested from the viewpoints of solution accuracy and solution time. An implicit finite difference method has been described in [5], which is valid for both quick and slow transients, as well as, for steady flows.

Application of the finite element method (FEM) to pipeline fluid flow transient analysis was always attractive because of the analogy of the structure of the computational model to the real system. Moreover, it allows to use regular structural dynamics analysis tools for solving pipeline transients and vibration problems, as well as, to develop the coupled models based on fluid-structure interaction for pipeline pressure and structural vibration analysis. Many engineers should regard the finite element model as the most expedient one to presentation the heterogeneous structures of large pipe networks. However, the FEM scheme for pipeline flow cannot be directly gained by applying the Galerkin procedure to uni-dimensional compressible flow equations and using both pressure and flow velocity as nodal variables. The difficulty to be confronted here is that the flow velocities have to satisfy the condition of the flow rate balance at the pipe intersection nodes rather than the nodal value compatibility condition. Numerous constraint equations should be taken into account. Several finite element schemes suited for fluid and gas flow transients have been reported [6-9]. In early work [6] a general computational technique has been developed for the study of uniaxial linear vibration of compressible fluids in piping systems. The approach (based of finite element mobility method) was similar to the stiffness method in structural mechanics finite element models. The elements included in the model comprised finite and semi-infinite fluid columns, intermediate and boundary mass-spring-damper systems, cavity springs and modal bodies. A finite element model of the flow in the pipe with laminar frequency-dependent friction developed in [7] retained the accuracy of the MOC. Based on the finite element model, an electronic analogue methodology has been utilized to solving the problem of fluid transients. Pressures, velocities and frequency-dependent friction were used as the nodal variables. The formulation of the fluid-structure interaction presented in [8] included axial vibration model of the pipe aiming at better estimating the relative velocity of the fluid against the pipe wall. The model was based on the assumptions of linear elasticity, no buckling, negligible radial inertia, and low Mach number. Most reported finite element models of transient pipe flow were based on simplified systems of governing equations, in which convection and (or) non-linear terms can be neglected. An extensive/general characterization of different options of existing transient models and approaches to their solution has been provided in [9].

The mathematical form of obtained finite element model heavily depends of the selected type of transformation of the set of basic equations. Subject to the degree of simplification with respect to the set of basic equations, the equations of the fluid-structure interaction will be linear or, quite generally, non-linear. Possible transformations of the basic equation set could come out in the differential equations of first or second order.

The approach developed in this work presents the finite element model as a standard structural dynamic equation in terms of pressure variables and their first and second-order time derivatives. If convection terms could be omitted, the flow rate variables will appear only in non-linear damping terms of the equation. The finite element model is generated by using both first order form functions for pressure field approximation within the finite element, and the zero-order flow rate field approximation. Though originated from a rather simple idea to transform the pipeline pressure vibration problem to the form most relevant to the structural dynamic equation, the study considers also models, in which the complete model equation set is handled, without no simplifications of the governing equations. The latter is important in the case of highly elastic pipes with low effective values of the combined bulk modulus, which takes into account both pipe wall elasticity and fluid compressibility. In such situations the velocity of the pressure wave propagation is much lower than within rigid pipes, and can be comparable with the fluid flow velocity. The problems of this kind may be encountered, particularly, in biomedical simulations such as blood flow in blood vessels with highly elastic walls.

Also other advantages of the presented form of equations may be anticipated. An inherent hindrance encountered in the explicit analysis of fast transients by using finite element models is associated with the distortion of the short wavelength pulse simulated in a discrete mesh. The extent of distortion grows rapidly with time as the distance travelled by the pulse comprises a considerable number of lengths of the pulse. A substantial source of distortions of the pulse shape

seems to be the phase error produced by the model. A regular approach to minimization of the phase errors has been developed earlier, in [10-11], where the mass and stiffness matrices of elastic component domains have been synthesized in such a way that after assembling the component domain matrices to a larger model the convergence of modal frequencies should be as high as possible. The approach seems to be relevant and directly applicable to the models developed in this work.

2. Basic equations

Let us consider differential element dx of the fluid in the pipe of uniform cross-section. The basic set of uni-dimensional flow equations, which contains the continuity equation and the linear momentum conservation equation reads as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (mv)}{\partial x} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial (mv)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (mv^2)}{\partial x} + A \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D} \frac{mv|v|}{2} + mg \sin a = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where p is the fluid pressure, $m = \rho A$ is the mass of the fluid of mass density ρ per unit length of the pipe of cross-sectional area A, v are the velocity of the fluid flow, a is the angle of the pipe to against the horizontal, g is the free-fall acceleration, $f = 0.3614/\sqrt[4]{Re}$ is the friction coefficient for turbulent flow, where Re is the Reynolds number.

Having the differentiation in Eq. (1) is carried out, the obtained partial derivatives are combined to material derivatives and provide the following relation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{m}\frac{dm}{dt} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D}\frac{v|v|}{2} + g\sin a = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where d/dt denotes the material derivative expressed as $dm/dt = \partial m/\partial t + v \partial m/\partial x$. The compressibility of the flow is taken into account by means of the relation:

$$\frac{dp}{\widetilde{K}} = \frac{dm}{m},\tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{K} = K(1 + KD/hE)^{-1}$ is the equivalent bulk modulus of the pipe, which combines the bulk stiffness of the fluid and the radial expansion stiffness of the pipe, K is the bulk modulus of the fluid, E is the stiffness modulus of the pipe material, D, h are the diameter and wall thickness of the pipe [1].

Now Eq. (3) is substituted into Eq. (2), and material derivative is replaced by partial derivatives as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \tilde{K} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D} \frac{v|v|}{2} + g \sin a = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Notice that $1/\rho = A/m$, therefore the Eq. (4) does not contain the cross-sectional area term any more. In the following derivations it is reasonable to assume the value of cross-sectional area A as constant and to calculate the density of the fluid at each moment of time as:

$$ho=
ho_0\Big(1+rac{p-p_0}{\widetilde{K}}\Big),$$

by taking into account the combined elasticity of the fluid and of the pipe wall, where p_0 is the reference pressure at which the density of the fluid is ρ_0 .

(5)

Transformation of the Eq. (4) to the structural dynamic equation form is carried out as follows. The derivative $\partial v/\partial x$ is calculated from Eq. (4) as:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{\widetilde{K}} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right),\tag{6}$$

and substituted into Eq. (4). Further, Eq. (4.1) is differentiated with respect to time, Eq. (4.2) and Eq. (5) are differentiated with respect to x and combined together in order to eliminate $\partial^2 v / \partial x \partial t$ and $\partial \rho / \partial x$. The resulting equation system reads as:

$$\begin{cases}
\left[\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v^2\right)\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{f|v|}{D} - \frac{2v}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \\
+ \left(\frac{fv|v|}{2D} - g\sin a + \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^2}{\widetilde{K}}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2v\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x\partial t} = 0
\end{cases},$$
(7)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^2}{\widetilde{K}}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{f}{D}\frac{v|v|}{2} - g\sin a.$$

Equation system Eq. (7) preserves all the features of the model given by the original equation system Eq. (1). Later in the text we will refer to Eq. (7) as the full equation system, or equation Type 3.

At low values of flow velocity compared to wave propagation speed $\sqrt{\tilde{K}/\rho}$ and nearly incompressible fluid, the simplified version of Eq. (7) is obtained by omitting non-linear and convection terms as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} + \frac{f|v|}{D} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \frac{\tilde{K}}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{f}{D} \frac{v|v|}{2} - g \sin a. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Eq. (8) contains all the terms traditionally used for water-hammer calculations, where the pressure wave propagation speed exceeds the flow velocity many times. However, Eq. (8.1) enables to obtain the finite element formulation in the standard form of structural dynamic equation, provided that appropriate approximation orders for pressure and velocity variables is selected. The coupling of Eq. (8.1) to Eq. (8.2) can be observed within the damping term only, which is linearly proportional to the absolute value of the flow velocity. At low values of flow velocities, the damping does not essentially influence the basic dynamic properties of the system. Later in the text we refer to Eq. (8) as equation Type 1.

Another option of the simplified equation, which includes the convection and fluid compressibility terms, reads as:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} + \frac{f|v|}{D}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v^2\right)\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{fv|v|}{2D} - g\sin a\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2v\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x\partial t} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^2}{\widetilde{K}}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{f}{D}\frac{v|v|}{2} - g\sin a.
\end{cases}$$
(9)

Eq. (9) have been obtained from Eq. (7) by omitting the non-linear pressure terms. As demonstrated by numerical examples, in many practical situations the differences between solutions of Eq. (7) and Eq. (9) are rather negligible, whereas Eq. (8) tends to produce significant inadequacies at higher flow velocities, as well as, at lower values of equivalent bulk modulus \tilde{K} . Later in the text we refer to Eq. (9) as equation Type 2.

3. Finite element model

We develop the finite element formulation on the base of equation system Eq. (7). The formulations for Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) can be easily obtained by omitting the corresponding terms in the finite element expressions.

3.1. Steady flow

Transient analysis of pressure vibrations in the pipeline is started by assuming the steady flow solution as an initial condition. In the case of pipeline flow the compatibility condition of nodal values of the finite elements is valid for pressure terms only. The flow mass rates at each node satisfy the balance condition. We transform the equation to the form where flow rates are the right-hand side terms of the equations and are added-up to zeros or to external flow rate sources as the structural equations are assembled. Constant values of flow rates and linear distributions of pressure values over finite elements are assumed. At the first stage the steady flow solution is obtained by using Eq. (7.2), in which time-dependent terms are omitted as:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^2}{\tilde{K}}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D}\frac{v|v|}{2} + g\sin a = 0.$$
(10)

Two equations necessary to describing the flow rate balance over the finite element are obtained by integrating Eq. (10) from 0 to L and from L to 0 as:

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0}^{L} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_e^2}{\widetilde{K}} \right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D} \frac{v|v|}{2} + g \sin a \right) dx = 0, \\ \int_{L}^{0} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_e^2}{\widetilde{K}} \right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{f}{D} \frac{v|v|}{2} + g \sin a \right) dx = 0. \end{cases}$$
(11)

The following finite element equations are obtained:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2D}{fL} \left(A^2 \rho - \frac{w_e^2}{\tilde{K}} \right) (p_i - p_j) + \frac{2DA^2 \rho}{fL} p^* - \frac{2DA^2 \rho^2 g}{fL} \Delta z = w_i |w_i|, \\ \frac{2D}{fL} \left(A^2 \rho - \frac{w_e^2}{\tilde{K}} \right) (p_j - p_i) - \frac{2DA^2 \rho}{fL} p^* + \frac{2DA^2 \rho^2 g}{fL} \Delta z = w_j |w_j|, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where p^* is the pressure created by the pump in case the pump is present within the finite element, $\Delta z = z_j - z_i$ is the increment of height along the finite element, $w = A\rho v$ is the mass rate of the flow within the finite element and w_i , w_j are the nodal values of the flow rate. Obviously, over a single finite element $w = w_i = -w_j$.

The non-linear finite element equation is obtained from Eq. (12) as:

(13)

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(C)\sqrt{|C|} \sqrt{\frac{2D}{fL}} \left(A^2 \rho - \frac{w_e^2}{\widetilde{K}}\right) = w_i, \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(C)\sqrt{|C|} \sqrt{\frac{2D}{fL}} \left(A^2 \rho - \frac{w_e^2}{\widetilde{K}}\right) = w_j, \end{cases}$$

where $C = p_i - p_j + p^* - \rho g \Delta z$.

The tangential matrix of the finite element reads as:

$$[\mathbf{K}_T^e] = \sqrt{\frac{D}{2fL|C|}} \left(A^2 \rho - \frac{w_e^2}{\widetilde{K}} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1\\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(14)

Appropriate structural vectors and matrices are assembled by using element Eq. (13) and tangential matrices Eq. (14), and standard Newton-Raphson solution procedure is carried out for obtaining the steady flow solution. Unknown values of element flow rates, which are present in Eqs. (13), (14), are updated at every Newton-Raphson iteration as $w_e^{(n)} = w_e^{(n-1)}$, where *n* is iteration number. One should notice that the steady flow solution procedure cannot be started at zero initial approximation. For a horizontal pipe elements value *C* at the first iteration is zero, therefore relation Eq. (14) is undefined. A reasonable non-zero initial approximation could be obtained by using the linear version of Eq. (13). At zero iteration the linear problem is solved, in which the right structure $w_i|w_i|, w_j|w_j|$ of Eq. (13) are replaced by w_i, w_j , and $w_e = 0$ is assumed.

3.2. Dynamic equations of the finite element

Equations of uni-dimensional finite element of length L are obtained by applying the Galerkin weighted residual formulation to the Eq. (7.1) as:

$$A\int_{0}^{L} [\mathbf{N}]^{T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial t^{2}} - \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2}\right)\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial x^{2}} + \left(\frac{f|v_{e}|}{D} - \frac{2v_{e}}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{K}}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{fv_{e}|v_{e}|}{2D} - g\sin a + \left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho^{2}} - \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_{e}^{2}}{\widetilde{K}}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2v_{e}\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial x\partial t}\right)dx = 0,$$
(15)

where $[\mathbf{N}]^T = [1 - x/L, x/L]$ the form function matrix of the element, which is used for interpolation of pressure in terms of nodal variables as $p(x) = [\mathbf{N}]\{\mathbf{P}^e\}$.

The zero-order approximation for the fluid velocity, v_e , and mass density, ρ , means that the average values within a single finite element are used. In Eq. (15) the term containing $\partial^2 p / \partial x^2$ is integrated by parts as follows:

$$\begin{split} -A \int_{0}^{L} [\mathbf{N}]^{T} \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial x^{2}} dx &= -A \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2} \right) [\mathbf{N}]^{T} \frac{\delta p}{\delta x} \Big|_{0}^{L} - A \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\partial [N]^{T}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} dx \\ &= -A \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_{e}^{2}}{\widetilde{K}} \right)^{-1} \left(-\frac{f}{D} \frac{v_{e} |v_{e}|}{2} - g \sin a \right) [\mathbf{N}]^{T} \Big|_{0}^{L} \\ &- A \left(\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\partial [N]^{T}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial [N]}{\partial x} dx \{ \mathbf{P}^{e} \}, \end{split}$$

where value $\delta p/\delta x = (1/\rho - v_e^2/\tilde{K})^{-1}(-\rho_0 f v |v|/D2 - \rho_0 g \sin a)$ is substituted form Eq. (10) by assuming $\partial v/\partial t = 0$. It ensures that the investigated transient process starts at the initial conditions described by steady flow pressures and velocities.

(16)

Finally, the finite element equations read as:

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbf{M}^e] \{ \ddot{\mathbf{P}}^e \} + [\mathbf{C}^e (\dot{\mathbf{P}}^e, \mathbf{P}^e)] \{ \dot{\mathbf{P}}^e \} + [\mathbf{K}^e (\mathbf{P}^e)] \{ \mathbf{P}^e \} + \{ \mathbf{Q}^e \} = 0, \\ \dot{v}_e = G, \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{split} \left[\mathbf{M}_{(3)}^{e}\right] &= A \int_{0}^{L} \left[\mathbf{N}\right]^{T} \left[\mathbf{N}\right] dx = \frac{AL}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \approx \frac{AL}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \left[\mathbf{C}_{(3)}^{e}\right] &= \left(AL \left(\frac{f|v_{e}|}{D} - \frac{2v}{\tilde{K}} \frac{p_{e2} - p_{e1}}{L} - \frac{1}{\tilde{K}} \frac{\dot{p}_{e1} + \dot{p}_{e2}}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} + Av_{e} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \left[\mathbf{K}_{(3)}^{e}\right] &= \frac{A}{L} \left(\frac{\tilde{K}}{\rho} - v_{e}^{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{fv_{e}|v_{e}|}{2D} - g\sin a + \left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho^{2}} - \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_{e}^{2}}{\tilde{K}}\right) \frac{p_{e2} - p_{e1}}{L} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \left\{\mathbf{Q}_{(3)}^{e}\right\} &= A\tilde{K} \left(\frac{p^{*}}{L} - \frac{fv_{e}|v_{e}|}{2D} - g\sin a\right) \left\{\frac{1}{-1}\right\}, \\ G_{(3)}^{e} &= \frac{v_{e}}{\tilde{K}} \frac{\dot{p}_{1} + \dot{p}_{2}}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v_{e}^{2}}{\tilde{K}}\right) \frac{p_{2} - p_{1}}{L} - \frac{f}{D} \frac{v_{e}|v_{e}|}{2} - g\sin a^{e}, \end{split}$$

where p^* is the pressure created by a pump, if the pump works within the finite element. Bottom index "(3)" indicates that the matrices have been obtained by using full equation set of Type 3 (Eq. (7)).

In the case of the simplified set of governing equations Type 1, the relations Eq. (16) become much simpler and read as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{(1)}^{e} \end{bmatrix} \approx \frac{AL}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{(1)}^{e} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{ALf|v_{e}|}{2D} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{(1)}^{e} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{A}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\{ \mathbf{Q}_{(1)}^{e} \} = A\widetilde{K} \left(\frac{p^{*}}{L} - \frac{fv_{e}|v_{e}|}{2D} - g\sin a \right) \{ \frac{1}{-1} \},$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{(1)}^{e} = \frac{v_{e}}{\widetilde{K}} \frac{\dot{p}_{1} + \dot{p}_{2}}{2} - \frac{p_{2} - p_{1}}{\rho_{0}L} - \frac{f}{D} \frac{v_{e}|v_{e}|}{2} - g\sin a^{e}.$$

$$(17)$$

Element Eq. (16.1) are assembled to structural equations, and Eq. (16.2) are solved for each element separately. Here, we should notice that there are no algebraic relations employed in Eq. (17), which ensure the flow mass rate balance condition at the nodes of adjacent elements. In some cases, during the time integration process the element mass rates summed together at each node may give the values, which "drift away" from correct zero values. The ways to preventing such inaccuracies will be discussed in the next subsection.

The well-known non-reflecting boundary condition [12] may be applied at cut-off boundaries of the analyzed part of the pipeline as:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\widetilde{K}}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}} = 0.$$
(18)

As model equations contain convection terms, the higher order numerical integration schemes should be applied. In his work all examples were calculated by using MATLAB function ode23tb suited to solving stiff differential equations.

3.3. Correction terms

Two noteworthy situations may occur while applying the proposed finite element scheme.

The first situation is related to the evaluation of the steady flow initial condition. As mentioned before, the steady flow was calculated by using model Eqs. (13), (14), which was based on the second equation of Eq. (2) only. Another form of the same Eq. (16.2), in which time-dependent terms are assumed to be zeros. The dynamic response is calculated by using both Eq. (16). The steady flow initial conditions, which were calculated to answer Eq. (16.2) only, have to meet also Eq. (16.1). This is really so for simplified equation set Type 1, however, the first equation is not satisfied exactly if equation Types 2 and 3 are used. This may induce an artificial initial disturbance, which results in undesirable numerical noise in the solution of the pressure vibration. The easiest way to avoid this could be the integration of finite element model equations in time without any external excitation terms. After certain time of integration, the corrected equilibrium situation is achieved as the result of the damped transient vibration process. Artificial damping could be applied in order to force quicker establishment of equilibrium. The new steady equilibrium state is assumed to be an initial condition for subsequent transient calculations.

Another way to achieve the same result is purely algebraic. By neglecting all time-dependent terms in Eq. (16) and assuming initial disturbances as zero, let us express nodal pressure p_{e2} from Eq. (16.2) and substitute into Eq. (16.1). The residual will be the equilibrium correction term, which has to be subtracted from Eq. (16.1) during each subsequent integration step. Expressions are obtained rather cumbersome and we do not present them here. A proper way used in this work was to perform all the derivation by using symbolic mathematics software and to obtain the necessary terms of the formulae as fragments of the program source code.

The second problematic situation is related with the "drifting away" situation from the nodal mass rate balance condition, while performing the subsequent time integration steps. As demonstrated by numerical examples, the drift is very small in the case of nearly incompressible flows; however, it may become considerable where the flow compressibility is significant. The remedy applied by us was based on calculation of minimum corrections of flow rates within elements. The applied corrections should ensure the nodal flow mass rate balance condition at each time step. This is performed by transforming Eq. (16.2) into finite element equation form of first approximation order as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2D}{f_{e}|v_{e}|} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2D}{f_{e}|v_{e}|}
\end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \dot{w}_{e} + \dot{w}_{e}^{*} \\
\dot{w}_{e} + \dot{w}_{e}^{*} \end{matrix} \right\} \\
\approx - \left\{ \begin{matrix} \frac{vL}{\widetilde{K}} \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{2DA\rho_{e}}{Lf_{e}|v_{e}|} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^{2}}{\widetilde{K}}\right) (p_{i} - p_{j}) - \frac{2DA\rho}{Lf_{e}|v_{e}|} g\Delta z \\
\frac{vL}{\widetilde{K}} \frac{\partial p_{2}}{\partial t} + \frac{2DA\rho_{e}}{Lf_{e}|v_{e}|} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{v^{2}}{\widetilde{K}}\right) (p_{j} - p_{i}) + \frac{2DA\rho}{Lf_{e}|v_{e}|} g\Delta z \\
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{Bmatrix} w_{i} \\
w_{j} \end{Bmatrix},$$
(19)

where v_e , w_e are averaged flow velocity and mass rate within the element, \dot{w}_e^* is the sought correction of element flow mass rate, and w_i , w_j are nodal flow mass rates, which have to answer the nodal flow mass rate balance condition. As element Eq. (19) are assembled to the structural equation, the nodal values of flow mass rates (the rightmost term in Eq. (19)) add up to zeros or to prescribed external nodal flow rate values. We have to ensure the satisfaction of the assembled equation by applying minimum corrections \dot{w}_e^* . The conditional optimization problem is

formulated as:

$$\begin{cases} \min \frac{1}{2} \{ \dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el}^* \}^T \{ \dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el}^* \}, \\ [\mathbf{R}](\{ \dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el} \} + \{ \dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el}^* \}) = \{ \dot{\mathbf{w}}_n \}, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_n\}$ – assembled right-hand sides of element Eq. (19), $\{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_e\}$ – vector containing flow mass rates time derivatives of all elements, $\{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_e^*\}$ – vector containing corrections of flow mass rates time derivatives of all elements, $[\mathbf{R}]$ – matrix assembled from left-hand sides of Eq. (19).

The solution of Eq. (20) reads as:

$$\{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el}^*\} = [\mathbf{P}]^T ([\mathbf{P}][\mathbf{P}]^T)^{-1} ([\mathbf{P}]\{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_{el}\} - \{\dot{\mathbf{w}}_n\}).$$

Implementation of Eq. (21) during each time step of the integration scheme is resource consuming, if the pipeline is large. Fortunately, it is not necessary in the case of nearly incompressible flows, such as water supply or heating pipelines. It may appear as enough to apply the correction procedure each tenth or even several more steps.

The correction may work poorly in the case of pipelines containing segments, where nearly zero flow rates may occur. In such situations the left-hand side matrix of Eq. (20) may become indefinite.

4. Numerical results

Verification of the model is carried out by analyzing its behavior in simple situations, the properties of solutions of which are basically known ahead. The results of numerical experiments provided in this section illustrate the behavior of the proposed finite element scheme when applied to the pulse propagation along a uniform pipe at different flow rates and different sonic velocities. As a test example a sample pipe of 600 mm diameter, wall thickness 8 mm and 720 m length was selected. At each numerical experiment the calculations start from the steady flow situation, which is obtained by solving numerically the steady flow problem Eq. (13) at prescribed input flow rates.

Let us consider the water flow in the pipe. The parameters used are Young's modulus $E = 2.1 \times 10^{11}$ Pa of the pipe material, water bulk modulus $K = 2.2 \times 10^9$ Pa, mass density $\rho = 995$ kg/m³, dynamic viscosity $\mu = 0.547 \times 10^{-3}$ (N×s)/m². The speed of the pressure wave in such a pipe is 1112.7 m/s. Two situations were analyzed where input volumetric rates were 400 m³/h and 40000 m³/h, the corresponding pressure drops along the pipe at the steady flow situation were 0.01146 MPa and 3.62 MPa, corresponding flow mass rates were w = 110.56 kg/s and w=110.56 kg/s, flow velocities v = 0.3928 m/s and. v = 39.28 m/s The obtained almost commensurate ratios of values obtained in both situations indicate that the fluid is nearly incompressible, and the flow velocity along the pipe is practically constant. The excitation pressure pulse is generated at the left hand end of the pipe as the pressure overshoot 10⁵ Pa of half-cosine pulse front of duration 0.15 s is applied.

Fig. 1(a) presents the distribution of dynamic pressure values (i.e. the difference of pressures obtained during pressure pulse propagation from the values of obtained at the steady flow situation) along the pipe at time moment t = 2 s at two different steady flow velocity values 0.39 m/s and 39 m/s. The pressure at the right-hand end of the pipe is assumed to be fixed and equal to the pressure at the steady flow situation. The curves illustrate that the equations of all considered equation Types 1, 2 and 3 provide practically identical results at low velocities of the steady flow. However, at higher steady flow velocities the advection terms in equations Types 2 and 3 condition necessary corrections to the resulting velocity of the pulse propagation. This velocity becomes higher than calculated by using the equation Type 1 as the pulse is propagating downstream, and lower – in the upstream direction. This can be observed in Fig. 1(b), where screenshots of the shape of the pressure pulse travelling downstream from the left-hand end, and

(20)

(21)

then reflected from the right hand end and travelling upstream along the pipe are superimposed in the same picture. It is obvious that the advection terms shouldn't be neglected if the duration of the integration process is much larger than the pulse duration. The disparities among solutions obtained by using equations Types 2 and 3 are rather negligible at all considered steady flow velocities. The slight waviness of the pressure curve that follows the pulse front is caused by the numerical noise, which is underlying for wave equation solutions obtained in discrete meshes. Such errors can be reduced by using shorter element or by applying the generalized mass matrices instead of the lumped ones [10].



b) Superimposed screenshots of propagating and reflected pressure pulse obtained by using equation **Fig. 1.** Distribution of dynamic pressure values along the pipe at moments of time t = 2 s at two different steady flow velocity values 0.39 m/s and 39 m/s

If the speed of the wave propagation exceeds many times the speed of the steady flow, all equation types provide very close results. In such cases equation Type 1 may be used as a base for pressure pulse propagation finite element models and flow rate balance correction terms can be neglected. The model also requires no static correction terms, therefore the finite element model is simple and close to linear. The only non-linear term is the damping term, which includes the product of pressure and flow velocity. However, at low values of dynamic viscosity the basic dynamic properties of the pulse propagation are governed by stiffness and inertia terms of the model. The approach for the treatment of the model is close to that applied to structural dynamic equations.

The picture becomes different as the speed of the pressure wave propagation becomes comparable with the flow velocity one. Consider the situation where the speed of the pressure wave propagation along the pipe is 148.1 m/s, and dynamic viscosity $\mu = 0.547 \times 10^{-3} (N \times s)/m^2$. Such computational situations may occur not necessarily due to low values bulk modulus of the fluid but also in the situations where the pipes carrying the fluid are highly elastic and may cause the low values of the equivalent bulk modulus \tilde{K} . Such kind of models is inherent to the pressure wave propagation in blood vessels, which are able to expand in the radial direction under influence of the fluid pressure. In this work for the sake of comparison we analyze rather hypothetical situation, where the geometry of the pipe is the same as described at the beginning of this section. At the steady flow situation, by providing input volumetric rates 400 m³/h and 40000 m³/h, the corresponding pressure drops along the pipe at the steady flow situation are 0.001146 MPa and 3.62 MPa, and flow mass rates correspondingly are 110.56 kg/s and w = 11056 kg/s, and flow velocities v = 0.3928 m/s and v = 39.28 m/s.

The obtained values are very similar to those obtained for the fluid with the speed of the pressure wave propagation 1112.7 m/s, as the value of bulk modulus does not bring significant changes in the coefficient of the steady flow Eq. (13). However, the wave propagation properties are obtained very different compared to previous situation. Fig. 2 illustrates the transition of the flow from one steady state to another, as the pressure pulse of 5×10^5 Pa, duration 0.45 s is applied. Screenshots of flow mass rates distribution along the pipe at successive moments of time from 0 to 36 s are presented. After the application of the excitation pulse the input pressure acquires new steady value 4.15 MPa, and the new steady value of the flow rate should be established. Curves presented in Fig. 2(a) demonstrate how the flow rate distribution converges to the new steady value 11857 Pa (dotted line). The same value is obtained as the steady flow equation solution, as well as, as the final state of the flow transient. This verifies the validity of the dynamic finite element model. The necessity of the nodal flow mass rate balance correction is clearly demonstrated by comparing the results presented in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b). Without the correction procedure, the flow rate solution does not converge to a steady value along the pipe (Fig. 2(b)).

However, the pressure errors obtained by omitting the nodal rate balance correction are not so significant, Fig. 3. The three pictures of Fig. 3 present initial (t = 0 s) and final (t = 76 s) states of pressures, flow velocities and flow rates distribution along the pipe at three different computational options: (A) full equation system Type 3 with and without nodal rate balance correction, and (B) simplified equation system Type 1 with nodal rate balance correction. Obviously, option Type 3 with nodal rate balance correction should be considered as being closest to the exact solution. If other two options are considered, only insignificant differences of pressure values along the pipe are observed, Fig. 3(a). Velocity values at equation Type 1 are obtained erroneous at both final and initial state, because no fluid compressibility is taken into account by the equations, see Fig. 3(b). Equation Type 3 without nodal rate balance correction produces errors of flow velocities, which are quantitatively more significant than the corresponding pressure errors. The results presented in Fig. 3(c) depict the initial and final state of flow rates and should be regarded as steady flow states, to which the solutions presented in Fig. 2 converge.

As the equivalent bulk modulus of the fluid \tilde{K} increases, the influence of the nodal flow rate balance correction becomes much less significant, as could be seen from the results presented in

Fig. 4. It presents the initial and final state of pressures and flow mass rate at three different values of $\tilde{K} = 2.2 \times 10^7$ Pa, $\tilde{K} = 2.2 \times 10^8$ Pa and $\tilde{K} = 2.2 \times 10^9$ Pa, which correspond to pressure wave velocity values 148.1 m/s, 452.8 m/s and 1112.7 m/s. All curves are obtained without application of the nodal rate balance correction. When the pressure wave velocity exceeds the flow velocity 10 and more times, the nodal flow rate balance is approximately held up without additional effort of correction by means of relations Eq. (20) and enables to save the computational time.





1965. A FINITE ELEMENT MODEL IN A FORM OF STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC EQUATION FOR THE TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF PRESSURE IN PIPELINES. RIMANTAS BARAUSKAS, ANDRIUS KRIŠČIŪNAS, TOMAS BLAŽAUSKAS



Fig. 3. a) Steady values of pressures, b) flow velocities and c) flow rates at initial and final moments of time which are obtained after application of the pressure pulse 5×10⁵ Pa by applying three different options of the equation system

1965. A FINITE ELEMENT MODEL IN A FORM OF STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC EQUATION FOR THE TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF PRESSURE IN PIPELINES. RIMANTAS BARAUSKAS, ANDRIUS KRIŠČIŪNAS, TOMAS BLAŽAUSKAS



Fig. 4. a) Steady values of pressures and b) flow rates at the final moment of time, which are obtained after application of the pressure pulse 5×10^5 Pa by solving the FE model based on equation Type 3 with no nodal rate balance corrections at different values of pressure wave propagation along the pipe and steady flow velocity 39 m/s

Fig. 5 presents the example of pressure wave propagation along the pipe, if non-reflecting boundary condition is applied at the right-hand end of the pipe. One may observe that no reflected wave is observed and the constant pressure value $p - p_0 = 5 \times 10^5$ Pa along the pipe is established in the course of time.

The presented examples verify the validity of the algorithm. In each of the presented situations the convergence of the model is observed as the computational mesh is refined.

The following example illustrates the application of the model to a real engineering situation of a pipeline, which represents a part of heating network of a city. Rather slow water flow at velocity 2-3 m/s is assumed; thus the model based on equation Type 1 could be used, and nodal rate balance correction procedure could be omitted. Only a part of pipe network has been analyzed, the prescribed pressure and non-reflecting boundary conditions being used at the cut boundaries. The initial steady flow situation was simulated by applying prescribed flow rates and reference pressure at a given point, Fig. 6.



Fig. 5. Screenshots of pressure pulse propagation, where non-reflecting boundary condition is applied at the right-hand end of the pipe

The system consists of supply and return pipelines. The two parts are interconnected at numerous points, at which water consumers are connected to the pipeline. The notations used in Fig. 6 are as follows:

KPxx are key-point numbers. Pipes between each pair of key-points are of uniform diameter and wall thickness. Pipes are meshed into finite elements (not shown in the picture), the length of which depends on wave propagation speed and the duration of the excitation pressure pulse. In most cases element length 6 m was suitable for calculations;

w = xx denotes the prescribed input and output steady flow rates (m³/h), positive for incoming and negative for outgoing flow. They are used for steady flow calculation, the result of which is steady pressure distribution over the pipeline. The obtained pressures serve as initial conditions for the transient analysis;

Pb = xx are prescribed reference pressures used for steady and transient calculation;

Px = xx is the given amplitude of the pressure pulse measured with respect to the value of the pressure at steady flow situation;

NRFL are non-reflecting points corresponding to cut-off boundaries, which separate the investigated part of the pipeline from the whole pipe network. They are used for transient calculations only;

NCON are points of interconnection of supply and return pipelines, which are represented by equivalent pipes of given lengths and diameters.

Fig. 7 presents the pressure time rates, as the pressure drops about 1×10^5 Pa from the steady value during 0.3 s and imitates the situation of unexpected leakage in such kind of pipelines. Results of such calculations may be employed for the development of algorithms of pipeline state monitoring systems, where a change of measured pulse front shapes as the pulse propagates along the pipeline may be used for determining the locations of sudden leakages.





Fig. 6. The structure of the sample pipeline – a) supply and b) return parts, which are interconnected at consumer connection points NCONxx

1965. A FINITE ELEMENT MODEL IN A FORM OF STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC EQUATION FOR THE TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF PRESSURE IN PIPELINES. RIMANTAS BARAUSKAS, ANDRIUS KRIŠČIŪNAS, TOMAS BLAŽAUSKAS



Fig. 7. Pressure time laws at points A, B, C and D of the supply and return parts of the pipeline presented in Fig. 6, due to the pressure drop 1e5 Pa from the steady value during 0.3 s time at KP35 (point A) of the supply pipeline imitating the situation of unexpected leakage

5. Conclusion

The finite element scheme has been proposed for pressure wave propagation simulations in pipelines. Three analyzed options of the finite element model are based on different simplifications of the governing equation system, where the full system is one of the options. The advantage of the approach is that the pressure equation has the form of the general structural dynamic finite element equation, and the methods used for structural dynamic analysis can be directly applied to the pressure wave and vibration analysis within the pipeline. Straightforward application of the approach based on first order pressure approximation and zero order velocities approximation within finite elements can be applied only when the flow velocity is significantly less than the wave propagation velocity along the pipes. At higher flow velocities compressibility effects are taken into account, and nodal rate balance correction should be performed. Obviously, the rather expensive correction procedure is sufficient to perform every 10-20 steps. The numerical experiments demonstrate that the pressure errors are not significant even if the nodal rate balance correction is not applied, therefore considerable computational time savings could be obtained for initial estimations of the sought solution.

The possible application area of the developed model is the simulation of the pipeline leakage monitoring system, the purpose of which is to determine the location of the leakage (pressure drop pulse) basing on pressure variations registered by meters arranged at various places of the pipeline. The model can be also applied to simulations of the pressure pulse propagation in blood vessels with highly deformable walls, and in other applications.

References

- [1] Wylie E. Benjamin, Streeter Victor Lyle, Suo Lisheng Fluid Transients in Systems. Vol. 1. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993.
- [2] Wood Don J., et al. Numerical methods for modeling transient flow in distribution systems. Journal of American Water Works Association, 2005, p. 104-115.
- [3] Hwang Yao-Hsin, Chung Nien-Mien A fast Godunov method for the water-hammer problem. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, Vol. 40, Issue 6, 2002, p. 799-819.
- [4] Selçuk Nevin, Tarhan Tanıl, Tanrıkulu Songül Comparison of method of lines and finite difference solutions of 2-D Navier-Stokes equations for transient laminar pipe flow. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 53, Issue 7, 2002, p. 1615-1628.

- [5] Greyvenstein G. P. An implicit method for the analysis of transient flows in pipe networks. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 53, Issue 5, 2002, p. 1127-1143.
- [6] Frid Anders Fluid vibration in piping systems a structural mechanics approach, I: theory. Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 133, Issue 3, 1989, p. 423-438.
- [7] Shu, Jian-Jun A finite element model and electronic analogue of pipeline pressure transients with frequency-dependent friction. Journal of Fluids Engineering, Vol. 125, Issue 1, 2003, p. 194-198.
- [8] Kochupillai Jayaraj, Ganesan N., Padmanabhan Chandramouli A new finite element formulation based on the velocity of flow for water hammer problems. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, Vol. 82, Issue 1, 2005, p. 1-14.
- [9] Osiadacz Andrej J. Different transient flow models-limitations, advantages, and disadvantages. PSIG Annual Meeting. Pipeline Simulation Interest Group, 1996.
- [10] Barauskas Rimantas, Barauskiene Ramute Highly convergent dynamic models obtained by modal synthesis with application to short wave pulse propagation. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 61, Issue 14, 2004, p. 2536-2554.
- [11] Krisciunas Andrius, Barauskas Rimantas Minimization of numerical dispersion errors in finite element models of non-homogeneous waveguides. Information and Software Technologies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Vol. 403, 2013, p. 357-364.
- [12] Wolf John P., Song Chongmin Finite-Element Modelling of Unbounded Media. Wiley, Chichester, 1996.



Rimantas Barauskas Head of Department of Applied Informatics, Faculty of Informatics of Kaunas University of Technology. Graduated the Applied Mathematics studies from Kaunas University of Technology (KTU) as Engineer-Mathematician in 1976. Received his Ph.D. (1981) and Habilitation (1992) degrees in Mechanical Engineering at KTU. The main topics of research include the methods and applications of computer simulation of the dynamic behavior of solid structures and coupled systems including vibration, impact and penetration problems. Published over 200 scientific articles and several monographs.



Andrius Kriščiūnas is a Lecturer at the Department of Applied Informatics, Faculty of Informatics at Kaunas University of Technology. He obtained his Master's degree in Informatics at Kaunas University of Technology in 2012 and is a Ph.D. student of Informatics at the current moment. His research interests include analysis and design of finite elements.



Tomas Blažauskas is an Associate Professor and head of Software Engineering Department at Kaunas University of Technology. His current research interests include analysis and design of finite elements, software engineering, natural user interfaces.